



"Christopher T. Nowotarski"
<cnowotarski@spklaw.com>

To: Thomas Krueger/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
cc:
Subject: RE: Bales Mold Service

01/09/04 11:26 AM

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.



264413

My client will be happy to sign it with the previous changes we requested. Send me the revised document.

Christopher T. Nowotarski
Stone, Pogrund & Korey

-----Original Message-----

From: Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2004 1:33 PM
To: Christopher T. Nowotarski
Cc: Enwiya.Mazin@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Bales Mold Service

I am forwarding this to our project manager so he can confirm for you that this will not be a problem. Assuming you get that confirmation, will your client sign and return the consent form?

Thanks,

Tom Krueger

	"Christopher T.		
	Nowotarski"	To:	Thomas
Krueger/R5/USEPA/US@EPA	<cnowotarski@spkl	cc:	
	aw.com>	Subject:	RE: Bales Mold
Service			

01/07/04 01:18 PM

My client will agree, so long as they receive at least 48 hours advance notice and are assured of the length of time it will take to complete the sampling.

Christopher T. Nowotarski
Stone, Pogrund & Korey

-----Original Message-----

----- Forwarded by Thomas Krueger/R5/USEPA/US on 12/22/03 09:41 AM -----

Thomas Krueger
Nowotarski" 12/03/03 11:54 AM
Service(Document link: Thomas
To: "Christopher T.
<cnowotarski@spklaw.com>
cc:
Subject: RE: Bales Mold
Krueger)

Chris,

You should have received the plan by now. Is your client willing to permit access voluntarily?

Tom Krueger

"Christopher T.
Nowotarski"
Krueger/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
Service
To: Thomas
cc:
Subject: RE: Bales Mold
aw.com>
10/27/03 04:20 PM

I would be willing to review the plan and based the contents of the work plan make an appropriate recommendation.

Christopher T. Nowotarski
Stone, Pogrund & Korey

-----Original Message-----

From: Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 22, 2003 3:25 PM
To: Christopher T. Nowotarski
Subject: RE: Bales Mold Service

Would it work if we added "consistent with the U.S. EPA sampling plan"?
The sampling plan is not quite ready, but will be soon. It will

confirm that we're only looking at doing a few samples. Also, since this is a unilateral consent to access, your client retains the right to withdraw it if they feel USEPA is going overboard.

Please let me know at your earliest convenience if my suggestion will work.

Tom Krueger

"Christopher T.
Nowotarski"
<cnowotarski@spkl
aw.com>

To: Thomas
cc:
cc:
10/21/03 10:54 AM bcc:
Fax to:
Subject: RE: Bales Mold
Service

Tom, I reviewed the proposed Consent for Access to Property with my clients and we can not agree to the current form without further clarification in the statement "Preparing for and conducting monitoring and sampling activity;". I believe that this is too broad for the authority to sample. If you can provide further limitation on this provision we will be able to resolve this matter.

Christopher T. Nowotarski
Stone, Pogrund & Korey

-----Original Message-----
From: Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov
{mailto:Krueger.Thomas@epamail.epa.gov}
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2003 1:46 PM
To: cnowotarski@spklaw.com
Subject: Bales Mold Service

Still waiting for a response. Please contact me.

Tom Krueger
886-0562
krueger.thomas@epa.gov

----- Forwarded by Thomas Krueger/R5/USEPA/US on 09/24/03 01:44 PM -----

Thomas Krueger

08/18/03 03:39 PM
cnowotarski@spklaw.com

To:

cc:

Subject: Bales Mold

Service

Mr. Nowotarski:

Here's an effort to re-draft the consent to access in light of our exchange of calls and e-mails. Please let me know what you think.

Tom Krueger

(See attached file: bales.access.wpd)